

Glaucoma Associated with Penetrating Keratoplasty

Yupin Leelachaikul, M.D.*

Teeranan Waiyawuth, M.D.*

Vithoon Ruangsuksriwong, M.D.*

Santa Methasiri, M.D.*

ABSTRACT Objective : To study the incidence and risk factors associated with the development of glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty.

Materials and Methods : A retrospective study of 190 patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty from July 1997 to June 2002 at the Department of Ophthalmology, Ramathibodi Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand.

Results : One hundred ninety patients who underwent 231 penetrating keratoplasties were enrolled. The follow-up period ranged from 12 to 60 months (27.5 ± 17.9 months). The patients' age ranged from 9 months to 92 years (51.7 ± 21.2 years). The mean intraocular pressure in patients who developed glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty increased from 15.43 ± 10.56 mmHg to 28.52 ± 7.13 mmHg (45.9%). In the group which did not develop glaucoma, IOP increased from 13.69 ± 6.85 mmHg to 20.50 ± 10.3 mmHg (33.2%). Of 231 keratoplasties performed, 63 eyes had pre-existing glaucoma with well-controlled intraocular pressures while 97 eyes (57.7%) developed glaucoma afterwards. The development of glaucoma following penetrating keratoplasty was significantly related to graft failure ($P = 0.017$). On the contrary, the graft failure was not related to the glaucoma treatment ($P = 0.374$). Glaucoma surgery was required in 23 eyes. The incidence of graft failure was higher in patients who developed glaucoma.

Conclusion : The incidence of glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty was high. The risk factors for developing glaucoma were preexisting glaucoma, combined procedures, aphakia and pseudophakia. **Thai J Ophthalmol 2005 ; July-December : 19(2) : 185-193.**

Keywords : *Glaucoma, Penetrating Keratoplasty*

Introduction

One of the most serious complications following penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) is glaucoma. The incidence varies from 9% to 31% in the early postoperative period and 18%-35% in the late postoperative period.¹ It can lead to permanent blindness from the direct optic nerve damage or graft failure.

The causes of glaucoma following PKP are trau-

ma, inflammation, advanced age, aphakic bullous keratopathy, combined PKP and intracapsular cataract extraction (ICCE), preexisting glaucoma, corneal perforation, and previous PKP. In 1969, Irvin and Kaufman reported increased intraocular pressure (IOP) after PKP in different lens status ; IOP elevations of 24 mmHg in phakic eyes, 40 mmHg in aphakic eyes, and 50 mmHg in combined cataract and PKP.²

*Department of Ophthalmology, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Glaucoma Associated with Penetrating Keratoplasty

Reinhardt et al. reported that postoperative rising of IOP may cause endothelial cell loss in glaucoma eyes.³ This will lead to graft failure and permanent visual loss.

Materials and Methods

The medical records of 190 patients with 231 PKPs performed from July 1997 to June 2002 at the Department of Ophthalmology Ramathibodi Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand were reviewed.

Baseline demographic and clinical information was collected. The baseline clinical examination included age, gender, intraocular pressure (pre and post penetrating keratoplasty), laterality, indication for surgery, lens status, history of intraocular surgery.

In this study, the definition of glaucoma was based on IOP exceeding 21 mmHg because the evaluation of optic nerve, nerve fiber layer defect, and visual field tests may be inaccurate or unable to perform in this group of patients. In addition, most of the glaucomas associated with penetrating keratoplasty were secondary to angle closure mechanism, except for patients with preexisting glaucoma which may have glaucoma from other mechanisms. Secondary glaucoma in postkeratoplasty is mostly pressure dependent. Complete ocular examination was performed at initial visit and IOP was measured with Goldmann applanation tonometry during the follow up period. In cases with astigmatism the applanation prism was rotated 180° apart and the average was taken into account.

The definition of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma in this study were grouped as follows.

1. With no preexisting glaucoma

- a. Glaucoma : IOP exceeding 21 mmHg
- b. No glaucoma : IOP below 21 mmHg

2. With preexisting glaucoma

- a. Uncontrolled glaucoma : IOP exceeding 21 mmHg with previous or additional medication(s)
- b. Controlled glaucoma : IOP controlled below 21 mmHg postkeratoplasty from previous glaucoma surgery (trabeculectomy or glaucoma drainage implant)

In developing glaucoma cases, the IOP was followed for 1 month after the patient's last change in glaucoma treatment regimen.

Inclusion criteria were as follows :

1. PKP performed in the study period.
2. Intraocular pressure prior to the study did not exceed 21 mmHg.
3. In preexisting glaucoma patients the IOP was well controlled (below 21 mmHg) either with medication or surgery.
4. Patients were able to be followed for at least 1 year after PKP performed.

Patients with corneal pathologies that interfere with the measurement of intraocular pressure using Goldmann applanation tonometry was excluded i.e. corneal ulcer, descematocele, and corneal perforation.

Penetrating keratoplasty was performed under local anesthesia in most cases. Flieringa ring was used for the support of the globe and the corneal buttons were performed with Hessburg-Baron trephination. The donor graft was 0.5 mm larger than the recipient site. Corneal wound was sutured with 10-0 nylon using simple interrupted stitches.

Data Analysis

The number of penetrating keratoplasties was analyzed. One patient may have multiple surgeries either in one or both eyes. In patients with re-grafting, we chose the last procedure performed as the included eye. Survival analysis was used for baseline demographic and clinical data. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard ratios were used for estimating the development of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma in the surgical eye and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) was reported for each predictive factor. Statistical significant was defined as $p < 0.05$.

Results

Baseline demographic and clinical information of patients who developed postkeratoplasty-glaucoma were reported in Table 1. Of 190 patients with 231 keratoplasties performed, the follow up period was from 12 to 60 months. Ages ranged from 9 months old to 92 years (51.7 ± 21.2 years) with predominance of male 59.47% (113/190 patients). Preexisting glaucoma was found in 27.3% (63/231 PKPs) mostly with phakic eyes (53.2%). Patients developed glaucoma in 97 of 168 (231-63) PKPs (57.7%).

Univariate and multivariate hazard ratios with 95% CI were reported for each predictive factor for the development of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma. (Table 2, Table 3). In univariate and multivariate analyses, factors significantly predictive for the development of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma were lens status (aphakia or pseudophakia), previous surgery, preexisting glaucoma, and combined procedures. Aphakia tendd to be the most

predictive cause of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma with hazard ratio of 2.5 (95% CI, 1.44-4.35, $p < .001$). Other factors for developing postkeratoplasty-glaucoma were pseudophakic eyes with hazard ratio of 1.98 (95% CI, 1.25-3.15), combined procedures 1.93 (95% CI, 1.28-2.90), and preexisting glaucoma 1.71 (95% CI, 1.11-2.63) respectively.

The mean preoperative IOP in patients who did not develop glaucoma was 13.69 ± 6.85 mmHg and the mean postoperative IOP was 20.50 ± 10.39 mmHg. In the group of the patients with developing glaucoma, the mean preoperative IOP was 15.43 ± 10.56 mmHg and the mean postoperative IOP was 28.52 ± 7.13 mmHg.

Table 1 Patients' demographic data (231 keratoplasties)

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Number of patients | 190 |
| Age range | 9 months - 92 years |
| Gender | |
| Male | 113 |
| Side | |
| Right | 77 |
| Ocular status | |
| Previous ocular surgery | 141 |
| Preexisting glaucoma | 63 |
| Lens status (231 Keratoplasties) | |
| Phakic | 123 |
| Aphakic | 74 |
| Pseudophakic | 34 |
| HSV infection | 13 |

Note : HSV = Herpes Simplex Virus

Glaucoma Associated with Penetrating Keratoplasty

Table 2 Univariate analyses of predictive risk factors for the development of glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty (231 Keratoplasties)

| Factors | No. of PKPs | No. of glaucoma | Incidence at risk |
|--|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Lens status (p = .0001) | | | |
| Phakia | 123 | 37 | .000525 |
| Pseudophakia | 74 | 40 | .0014864 |
| Aphakia | 34 | 20 | .0018255 |
| Previous ocular surgery (p = .0004) | | | |
| Yes | 141 | 72 | .0013388 |
| No | 90 | 25 | .0004582 |
| HSV infection (p = .1467) | | | |
| Yes | 13 | 3 | .0002524 |
| No | 218 | 94 | .0009746 |
| Preexisting Glaucoma (p = .001) | | | |
| Yes | 63 | 36 | .0015654 |
| No | 168 | 61 | .0007148 |
| Bullous keratopathy (p = .1094) | | | |
| Yes | 60 | 31 | .0011566 |
| No | 171 | 66 | .0008095 |
| Graft failure (p = .0020) | | | |
| Yes | 58 | 33 | .0022091 |
| No | 172 | 64 | .0006852 |
| Corneal dystrophy (p = .6748) | | | |
| Yes | 21 | 8 | .0006538 |
| No | 210 | 89 | .0009261 |
| Corneal ulcer (p = .4339) | | | |
| Yes | 23 | 11 | .0010322 |
| No | 208 | 86 | .0008804 |
| Trauma (p = .1803) | | | |
| Yes | 14 | 9 | .0012193 |
| No | 217 | 88 | .0008716 |
| Corneal perforation (p = .2305) | | | |
| Yes | 10 | 2 | .0002602 |
| No | 217 | 95 | .0009438 |
| Operation (p = .0054) | | | |
| PKP alone | 120 | 40 | .0006673 |
| Combined | 111 | 5 | .0011779 |
| Surgeon (p = .1272) | | | |
| Resident | 7 | 2 | .0003358 |
| Fellow | 129 | 61 | .0012084 |
| Staff | 95 | 34 | .0006551 |

Note : HSV = Herpes simplex virus, PKP = penetrating keratoplasty, PKPs = penetrating keratoplasties, combined = combined procedures

Table 3 Multivariate Hazard Ratio and 95% Confidence Intervals for the development of glaucoma after PKP (231 PKPs)

| Factors | Coefficient (Std.Err) | P value | Hazard ratio (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| Operation | | | |
| PKP alone | - | - | 1 |
| Combine procedures | .66 (.21) | .002 | 1.93 (1.28-2.90) |
| Preexisting glaucoma | | | |
| Yes | .54 (.22) | .015 | 1.71 (1.11-2.63) |
| No | - | - | - |
| Lens status | | | |
| Phakia | - | - | 1 |
| Pseudophakia | .68 (.24) | .004 | 1.98 (1.25-3.15) |
| Aphakia | .92 (.28) | .001 | 2.50 (1.44-4.35) |

Note : CI = confident interval, PKP = penetrating keratoplasty

Both groups show increased IOP 33.2% and 45.9% respectively. The mean IOP after receiving glaucoma treatment was 16.61 ± 4.64 mmHg.

Glaucoma was controlled with medications in 74 cases, with surgery in 5 cases and required both medication and surgery in 18 cases. From 23 surgical cases, trabeculectomy with mitomycin-C was performed in 20 cases ; glaucoma drainage device was implanted in 4 cases and cyclocryotherapy in 1 case (some cases had multiple surgeries performed). Of 97 eyes, the number of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma with medications was few due to the favorable surgical outcome.

Comparing the development of glaucoma and graft outcome, our study showed that glaucoma was related to

graft failure (Table 4, $p = .0107$). Although with adequate IOP lowering treatment, the graft failure was not related to the glaucoma treatment mentioned (Table 5).

Discussion

In our study, the incidence of postkeratoplasty-glaucoma was higher than the previous studies (57.7%, 18-35% respectively). This was probably due to the different demographic information such as race, age, gender, indication for PKP, and preoperative status.

From the previous studies, bullous keratopathy was the most important risk factor for developing glaucoma.⁶ But in our study, bullous keratopathy was statistically insignificant. Graft failure was strongly related

Glaucoma Associated with Penetrating Keratoplasty

Table 4 Incidence of glaucoma VS Graft outcome (231 penetrating keratoplasties)

| Glaucoma/Graft outcome | Graft outcome | | Total |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Survive | Fail | |
| Yes | 41 (34.45%) | 56 (50.00%) | 97 (41.99%) |
| No | 78 (65.55%) | 56 (50.00%) | 134 (58.01%) |
| Total | 119 | 112 | 231 |

Pearson chi2 = 5.7247

Pr = 0.0107

Table 5 Glaucoma management VS Graft outcome

| Glaucoma management | Graft outcome | | Total |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Survive | Fail | |
| Medication | 34 (82.93%) | 40 (71.43%) | 74 (76.29%) |
| Surgery | 7 (17.08%) | 16 (28.57%) | 23 (23.71%) |
| Total | 41 | 56 | 97 |

Pearson chi2 = 1.7300

Pr = 0.188

to postkeratoplasty-glaucoma. Table 6 shows the indications for penetrating keratoplasty such as corneal edema, previous graft failure, corneal scar, corneal dystrophy, and corneal perforation.

In the aspect of preoperative status, preexisting glaucoma was a significant risk factor in several other studies,^{1,5-7,14} the incidence for developing glaucoma ranged from 12.2%-21.25%.⁴⁻⁶ The difference in our study

Table 6 Indications for Penetrating keratoplasty

| Indications | No. of patients | No. of patients with preexisting glaucoma |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Corneal edema | 60 | 22 |
| Graft failure | 58 | 28 |
| Corneal scar (from ulcer) | 39 | 4 |
| Corneal dystrophy | 21 | 4 |
| Active corneal ulcer | 23 | 6 |
| Corneal scar (from trauma) | 14 | 2 |
| Corneal perforation | 10 | 1 |
| Others | 13 | 0 |

was that preexisting glaucoma was found to be higher (27.3%).

The multivariate hazard ratio showed that the significant risk factors were combined procedures, pre-existing glaucoma and lens status (both aphakia and pseudophakia), which were similar to the other studies.^{1,5-7} The other suspected risk factors were analyzed, Herpes Simplex Virus infection and trauma, but there was no statistical significance.

Previous surgery and graft failure were highly related to the development of glaucoma, but there was no statistical significance in our study.

The onset of developing glaucoma ranged from 1 to 463 days (68.8 ± 85.1 days). Interestingly, the range of time-onset varies widely, so close follow-up for glaucoma is necessary since the first postoperative day and all follow-up visits.

In treating postkeratoplasty-glaucoma, most cases

were successful with glaucoma medication alone (74.23%). Of the 97 keratoplasties who developed glaucoma, 25 (25.8%) needed glaucoma surgery.

Our study pointed out that graft failure was not related to glaucoma surgery whereas other studies revealed that the most important complication after all types of glaucoma surgery (trabeculectomy with mitomycin C, glaucoma drainage device and cyclodestructive procedures) in postkeratoplasty-glaucoma was graft failure.^{1,12-14} In addition, achievement in IOP control should be considered.^{10,11} Although in our study, glaucoma surgery was not significantly related to graft failure, probably due to the small numbers of patients who underwent glaucoma surgery and there were many other factors which affected the graft outcome other than glaucoma surgery.

There were many studies evaluated on combined operation between trabeculectomy with mitomycin-C or

Glaucoma Associated with Penetrating Keratoplasty

glaucoma-drainage device implantation and penetrating keratoplasty in the patients with coexisting corneal disease and glaucoma, the outcome of such approach was found that the outcome was not better than glaucoma surgery after penetrating keratoplasty.^{8,10,11,14}

Conclusions

The incidence of developing glaucoma was high in patients performing penetrating keratoplasty. Risk factors in the development of glaucoma following penetrating keratoplasty were preexisting glaucoma, combined procedures, aphakia and pseudophakia. The incidence of graft failure was higher in patients who developed glaucoma. Glaucoma assessment was required in patients whom undergone keratoplasty in order to maintain long-term graft survival.

REFERENCES

1. Ayyala R.S. : Penetrating keratoplasty and glaucoma. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 2000 ; 45 : 91-105.
2. Irvine AR, Kaufman HE. Intraocular pressure following penetrating keratoplasty. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1969 Nov ; 68(5) : 835-44.
3. Reinhard T, Bohringer D, Sundmacher R. Accelerated chronic endothelial cell loss after penetrating keratoplasty in glaucoma eyes. *J Glaucoma.* 2001 Dec ; 10(6) : 446-51.
4. Franca E.T., Arcieri E.S., Arcieri R.S., and Rocha F.J. : A study of glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea.* 2002 ; 21(3) : 284-8.
5. Foulks G.N. : Glaucoma associated with penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology.* 1987 ; 94 : 871-74.
6. Karesh J.W., Nirankari V.S. : Factor associated with glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 1983 ; 96 : 160-4.
7. Goldberge D.B., Shanzlin D.J., and Brown S.I. : Incidence of increased intraocular pressure after penetrating keratoplasty. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 1981 ; 92 : 372-7.
8. Al-Torbak A. : Graft survival and glaucoma outcome after simultaneous penetrating keratoplasty and Ahmed glaucoma valve implant. *Cornea.* 2003 ; 22(3) : 194-7.
9. Lim K.S. : Corneal endothelial cell damage from glaucoma drainage device materials. *Cornea.* 2003 ; 22(4) : 352-4.
10. Ishiko M., Shimazaki J., Yamagami J., Fujishima H., Shimmura S., Tsubota K. : Trabeculectomy with mitomycin C for post-penetrating keratoplasty. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 2000 ; 84 : 714-7.
11. McDonnell P.J., Robin J.B., Schanzlin D.J., Minckler D., Baerveldt G., Smith R.E., and Heuer D. : Molteno implant for control of glaucoma in eyes after penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology.* 1998 ; 95 : 364-9.
12. Lemp M.A., Pfister R.R., and Dohlman C.H. : The effect of intraocular surgery on clear corneal graft. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 1970 ; 70(5) : 719-21.
13. Preechanond A., Chuckpaiwong V., Bunnapradist P., and Vongthongsri A. : Penetrating keratoplasty in Ramathibodi Hospital (1997-2001) : A 5-year retrospective study. *Thai J. Ophthalmol.* 2002 ; 16(1) : 55-61.
14. Figueiredo R.S., Araujo S.V., Cohen E.J., Rapuano C.J., Katz L.J., Wilson R.P. : Management of coexisting corneal disease and glaucoma by combined penetrating keratoplasty and trabeculectomy with mitomycin-C. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers.* 1996 Nov ; 27(11) : 903-9.
15. Sherwood M.B., Smith M.F., Driebe W.T. Jr, Stern G.A., Beneke J.A., Zam Z.S. : Drainage tube implants in the treatment of glaucoma following penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmic Surg.* 1993 Mar ; 24(3) : 185-9.
16. Beiran I., Rootman D.S., Trope G.E., Buys Y.M. : Long-term results of transcleral Nd : YAG cyclophotocoagulation for refractory glaucoma post-penetrating keratoplasty. *J Glaucoma.* 2000 Jun ; 9(3) : 268-72

Glaucoma Associated with Penetrating Keratoplasty

ยุพิน ลีละชัยกุล, พ.บ.*

ธีรานันท์ ไวยวุฒิ, พ.บ.*

วิฑูรย์ เรืองสุขศรีวงศ์, พ.บ.*

สันต์ เมธาศิริ, พ.บ.*

บทคัดย่อ **วัตถุประสงค์** : เพื่อทำการศึกษาอุบัติการณ์การเกิดต้อหินและปัจจัยที่เกี่ยวข้องหลังการผ่าตัดเปลี่ยนกระจกตา

วิธีการ : เก็บรวบรวมข้อมูลของผู้ป่วยที่ทำการผ่าตัดเปลี่ยนกระจกตา ย้อนหลังจากเวชระเบียนในโรงพยาบาลรามาธิบดีตั้งแต่ กรกฎาคม 2540 ถึง มิถุนายน 2545

ผลการศึกษา : ผู้ป่วยที่เปลี่ยนกระจกตา 190 คนได้ทำการผ่าตัดทั้งหมด 231 ครั้ง มีอายุตั้งแต่ 9 เดือนถึง 92 ปี (51.5 ± 21.22 ปี) ทำการติดตามผู้ป่วยเป็นเวลาตั้งแต่ 12 ถึง 60 เดือน (27.5 ± 17.89 เดือน) พบว่าความดันลูกตาหลังการผ่าตัดเปลี่ยนกระจกตามีค่าที่สูงขึ้น ในการผ่าตัด 231 ครั้งพบว่า 63 ตาที่มีต้อหินก่อนการผ่าตัดและสามารถควบคุมความดันตาได้หลังเปลี่ยนกระจกตา ในขณะที่มี 97 (57.7%) ตาเกิดต้อหินขึ้นตามหลังการผ่าตัดเปลี่ยนกระจกตา การเกิดต้อหินสัมพันธ์กับการเสื่อมของกระจกตาที่เปลี่ยนไป ($p = .017$) ในทางตรงข้ามการเสื่อมของกระจกตาไม่ได้สัมพันธ์กับการเกิดต้อหิน ผู้ป่วย 23 คนที่เกิดต้อหินจำเป็นต้องได้รับการผ่าตัดรักษา และอุบัติการณ์เกิดกระจกตาเสื่อมพบได้มากขึ้นในผู้ป่วยที่เกิดต้อหิน

สรุป : พบอุบัติการณ์ของต้อหินสูงหลังการผ่าตัดเปลี่ยนกระจกตา โดยปัจจัยเสี่ยงประกอบด้วย การเป็นต้อหินอยู่เดิม การผ่าตัดหลายชนิดในครั้งเดียวกัน ภาวะที่ไม่มีเลนส์แก้วตาหรือมีเลนส์แก้วตาเทียมอยู่ในดวงตา **จักษุเวชสาร 2548 ; กรกฎาคม-ธันวาคม 19(2) : 185-193.**

*ภาควิชาจักษุวิทยา คณะแพทยศาสตร์โรงพยาบาลรามาธิบดี มหาวิทยาลัยมหิดล