

การตรวจคัดกรองเพื่อค้นหาการเปลี่ยนแปลงที่จอประสาทตาในผู้ป่วยโรคเบาหวาน ณ โรงพยาบาลศรีสังวรสุโขทัย

จิตติมา อินทพิบูลย์, พ.บ., วนิดา ศรีม่วง, พยบ.,
दनยา อินทวงษ์, ป.พส., จินตมาศ บริบูรณ์, พยบ.,
วรัทยา วิเศษ, พยบ., จันทรส่อง คงกระพันธ์

บทคัดย่อ

การศึกษาวิธีการตรวจคัดกรองการเปลี่ยนแปลงที่จอประสาทตาใน ผู้ป่วยโรคเบาหวาน โรงพยาบาลศรีสังวรสุโขทัย จำนวน 470 ราย ในระหว่างวันที่ 1 กรกฎาคม - 31 ธันวาคม พ.ศ. 2550 พบว่ามีผู้ป่วยที่ตรวจพบมีการเปลี่ยนแปลงที่จอประสาทตา ร้อยละ 30 ทั้งนี้พบว่าเป็นระยะ nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy ร้อยละ 23.40 และระยะ proliferative diabetic retinopathy ร้อยละ 6.60 การตรวจจอประสาทตาอย่างสม่ำเสมอเพื่อหาการเปลี่ยนแปลงที่จอประสาทตาในระยะเริ่มแรก และให้การรักษาที่เหมาะสม สามารถลดความเสี่ยงการเกิดตาบอดจากโรคเบาหวานได้ **จักษุเวชสาร 2551; มกราคม-มิถุนายน 22(1): 12-17.**

Original Article/นิพนธ์ต้นฉบับ

Screening for Diabetic Retinopathy in Srisangwornasukhothai Hospital



Jittima Intapibool, M.D.

Wanida Srimuan, RN., Danaya Inthawong, RN.,
Jintamas Boriboon, RN., Warataya Visat, RN.,
Junsong Congkapan, TN.

Abstract

Diabetic mellitus patients were received ophthalmic examination for screening diabetic retinopathy and its complication by the ophthalmologist in Srisangwornasukhothai Hospital among 470 patients during July - December 2007. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy was 30%, 23.40% was nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy and 6.60% was proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Early detection with appropriate management can reduce the risk of visual loss from diabetes. **Thai J Ophthalmol 2008; January-June 22(1): 12-17.**

Keywords: *diabetic retinopathy (DR), nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR), proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR)*

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of leading causes of visual loss and blindness in the world.¹⁻⁴ Ophthalmic manifestations of diabetic mellitus are common and discussed in metabolic disease, vascular disease, macular disease, neovascular glaucoma, and orbital inflammation and infection.² All structures of the eye are susceptible to the deleterious effects of diabetic mellitus.

Although, at the present there is no cure for diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema, careful examination and appropriate treatment can reduce the 5-year risk of visual loss from diabetes.¹⁻²

The frequency of diabetic retinopathy varies with the age of onset as well as the duration of the disease. Approximately 85 percent of patients eventually develop the complication, but some never develop lesions even after 30 years of disease.³ Retinopathy appears to develop earlier in older patients, but proliferative retinopathy is less common. Ten to 18 percent of patients with nonproliferative retinopathy progress to proliferative disease in a 10-year period. About half of patients with proliferative disease progress to blindness within 5 years. Standard treatment for proliferative diabetic retinopathy is laser photocoagulation and/or pars plana vitrectomy with endolaser photocoagulation.¹⁻⁴

To evaluate the prevalence of DR and its correlation to the duration of diabetes, diabetic patients were screened and evaluated with ophthalmic examination.

Material and Methods

Diabetic patients who visited Diabetic Mellitus Clinic in Srisangwornasukhothai Hospital from July to December 2007 were included in this descriptive study. The ophthalmic examination was defined as follows:

1. Criteria for patient selection were the diabetic mellitus who had risks for DR (duration of diabe-

tes more than 5 years in type 1 diabetes or poorly control serum blood sugar or type 2 diabetes or with hypertension) and no previous eye examination by an ophthalmologist.

2. The ophthalmic nurses gave the patients preliminary information about DM and its complications, especially DR, to the patients.

3. Ophthalmic examination included visual acuity assessment, refraction, intraocular pressure measurement, slitlamp bio-microscopic examination and fundus examination using indirect ophthalmoscopy with pupillary dilatation.

4. After ophthalmic examinations, diagnosis and further management about DR were informed to the patients and ones who needed the laser or surgical intervention were referred to the regional hospital.

(Staging of DR is classified as Table 1.)

Results

Four hundred and seventy patients were received eye examination for screening DR by an ophthalmologist (table 2). Coverage of DR screening in this hospital was 25.54% during the study. The prevalence of DR was 30% in this population, 23.40% was NPDR and 6.60% was PDR. The relation of age and DR was found that 49.15% had range of age 51-65 years old (table 3). The prevalence of DR was correlated to the duration of diabetic patients (table 4). In duration less than 5 years, 20.6% of these patients were found developing any stage of DR. Moreover, patients who had diabetes for 11-15 years, the prevalence of DR was increase to 53.03% with 10.61% were PDR. All PDR patients were referred to the regional hospital for further treatment. Associated conditions were observed together with diabetes such as cataract, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and ischemic heart disease. However, a number of diabetes patients with other underlying or associate diseases were too small to discuss any conclusion

Table 1 International clinical diabetic retinopathy disease severity scale⁵

Proposed disease Severity level	Dilated ophthalmoscopy findings
No apparent Retinopathy	No abnormalities
Mild nonproliferative DR	Microaneurysms only
Moderate nonproliferative DR	More than just microaneurysms, but less than severe NPDR
Severe nonproliferative DR	No signs of PDR, with any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 20 intraretinal hemorrhages in each of four quadrants • Definite venous beading in two or more quadrants • Prominent intraretinal microvascular anomalies in one or more quadrants
PDR	One or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neovascularization • Vitreous or preretinal hemorrhage

Table 2 Distribution of diabetic retinopathy.

	DR			Total
	none	NPDR	PDR	
Male	65	20	5	90 (19.15%)
Female	264	90	26	380 (80.85%)
Total	329 (70.00%)	110 (23.40%)	31 (6.60%)	470

Table 3 Distribution of diabetic retinopathy among each age group.

Age group	DR			Total
	none	NPDR	PDR	
21-35	7	0	0	7 (1.49%)
36-50	81	10	2	93 (19.79%)
51-65	150	61	20	231 (49.15%)
>65	91	39	9	139 (29.57%)
Total	329	110	31	470

Table 4 Prevalence of DR and duration of diabetes

Duration (years)	DR				
	none	NPDR		PDR	
		number	percent	number	percent
0-5	203	39/256	15.23	14/256	5.47
6-10	78	33/119	27.73	8 /119	6.72
11-15	31	28/66	42.42	7/66	10.61
>15	17	10/29	34.48	2/29	6.89
Total	329	110/470	23.40	31/470	6.60

about the relationship of these disorders.

Discussion

Diabetic retinopathy is the commonest cause of blindness in the working population and is also the most frequent cause of new case of blindness in adults aged 20-74 years. With an appropriate ocular screening and photocoagulation most of this blindness should be preventable.¹⁻⁴

Jenchitr et al⁶ found that the prevalence of NPDR was 18.9% and PDR was 3% in all age groups. For the relationship of the duration of diabetes, it showed that the longer duration of diabetes the higher prevalence of diabetic retinopathy. In NPDR, the retinopathy varied from 13.11 to 22.91% in persons having diabetes for less than 10 years and up to 42.86% in those with diabetes for up to 20 years. In the PDR group, the prevalence was 2.15 to 2.42% in persons with diabetes for less than 10 years and up to 10.20% for those with diabetes for up to 20 years. The severity of retinopathy was not only related to longer duration of diabetes but also related to higher glycosylated hemoglobin levels, higher systolic blood pressure and the presence of proteinuria.⁷ In the other studies⁸⁻¹², the prevalence of DR by indirect ophthalmoscopic fundus examination was 17-31%. Moss, et al¹³ conducted a study to monitor patients diagnosed with diabetes for more Than 14 years. The study revealed that 8% of patients with PDR would lose their vision in one eye. There were reports of using nonmydriatic digital fundus camera for screening DR which required less time to perform, no need for using mydriatic drug in most cases.¹⁴⁻¹⁵

The present study was the first report of DR screening by an ophthalmologist at Srisangworn-sukhothai Hospital, Sukhothai province. This prevalence of DR was higher than the study in Lampang Hospital, and Chonburi Hospital. Thirty one patients

(6.60%) were PDR and all were referred to regional hospital for further treatment.

Summary

The ophthalmologists should detect and inform their patients about the importance of the diabetic retinopathy including performed an appropriate treatment to prevent or delay blindness and might be improve the visual acuity of the patients.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank the Diabetic Mellitus Clinic, Department of Ophthalmology, Srisangworn-sukhothai Hospital for their kindness and helps in data collection.

References

1. Niffenegger JH, Fong D, Cavalleano J, Aiello LM. Diabetes mellitus. In: Albert DM, Jakobiec FA, Robinson NL, editors. Principles and practice of ophthalmology. Philadelphia: W.B.Saunders, 1994:2925-36.
2. Spalton DJ, Shilling JS, Schulenburg WE. The retina-vascular diseases 2. In: Spalton DJ, Hitchings RA, Hunter PA, editors. Atlas of clinical ophthalmology. 2th ed. London: Mosby-Year Book, 1994:15.1-15.30.
3. Powers AC. Diabetes mellitus. In: Kasper DL, Braunwald E, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Fauci AS, Jameson JL, editors. Harrison's principles of internal medicine. 16th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2005:2152-79.
4. อภิชาติ สิงคาลวณิช. การเปลี่ยนแปลงที่จอประสาทตาในผู้ป่วยเบาหวาน-การรักษาและการคัดกรองโรค. คลินิก 2547;20:625-30.
5. Ciulla TA, Amador AG, Zinman B. Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema. Pathophysiology, screening, and novel therapies. Diabetes Care 2003;26:2653-64.
6. Jenchitr W, Samaiporn S, Chongwiriyannurak T, Chayaboon D, Lertmeemongkolchai P, Anujaree P, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in relation to duration of diabetes mellitus in community hospital of Lampang. J Med Assoc Thai 2004;87:1321-6.
7. Nielsen NV, Ditzel J. The prevalence of macro- and microvascular disease as related to glycosylated hemoglobin in type 1 and 2 diabetic subjects: An epidemiologic study in Denmark. Horm Metab Res 1985;15(suppl):19.

8. Samaiporn S, Lerdmeemongkolchai P, Anujaree P, Pohikamjorn A, Chayaboon D, Chongwiriyanurak. Prevalence and risk of diabetic retinopathy in relation to duration of diabetic mellitus. *Thai J Ophthalmol* 2001;15:1-8.
9. Nitiapinyasakul N, Nitiapinyasakul A. Diabetic retinopathy screening in community hospital. *Thai J Ophthalmol* 2004; 18:103-10.
10. Ausayakhum S, Jiraratsait J. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in NIDDM. patients. *Thai J Ophthalmol* 1990;5:133-8.
11. Rasmidatta S, Khunsuk-Mengrai K, Warunyuwong C. Risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in NIDDM. *J Med Assoc Thai* 1998;18:169-74.
12. Supapruksakal S. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in Trang Hospital. *12th Region medical Journal of MOPH* 1997;8:39-48.
13. Moss SE, Klien R, Klien BEK. The 14-year incidence of visual loss in a diabetic population. *Ophthalmology* 1998;105: 998-1003.
14. Ruamviboonsak P, Wongcumchang N, Surawongsin P, Panyawatananukul E, Tiensuwan M. Screening for diabetic retinopathy in rural area using single-field, digital fundus images. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2005;88:176-80
15. อุดม ภู่วโรดม. การศึกษาเปรียบเทียบการคัดกรองโรคเบาหวานเข้าจอประสาทตาระหว่างการใช้อัลตร้าถ่ายภาพดิจิทัลของจอตากับการตรวจด้วยเครื่องมือ ophthalmoscope. *Thai J Ophthalmol.* 2007; 21:137-43.